

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/548,734	04/13/2000	Hugo J. Strubbe	US000103	6918	
24737 7	7590 07/03/2003				
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ENG, GEORGE			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643		
		• .	DATÉ MAILED: 07/03/2003	. /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Anglianda			
Office Action Summary		pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		9/548,734	STRUBBE ET AL.			
		xaminer	Art Unit			
		eorge Eng	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the material in the set or extended period period and the set of extended period and the set of set of s	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply with aximum statutory period will al d for reply will, by statute, cau e months after the mailing date	n. In no event, howeven the statutory mining oppy and will expire See the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 23 Apri	1 2003 .				
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	<u> </u>	ction is non-fin	al.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16 and 18-23</u>	is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16 and 18-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to	o by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>23 April 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-		5) 🔲 🛭	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No. 10			

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is in response to amendment filed 4/23/2003 (paper no. 9).

## **Drawings**

2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 4/23/2003 (paper no. 7). These drawings are acceptable.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-16 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 12 and 23, it is unclear how to apply a set of rule to determine which of at least one of the audio locator output and the video locator output will be utilized to adjust a setting of camera because each claim fails to particular point out in what condition should utilize the audio locator output to adjust the camera setting. In addition, the claimed limitation of "adjusting the camera setting in accordance with the determined manner of utilization" is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what is the determined manner. It appears that the camera setting is adjusted in accordance with the set of rules according to the specification

Art Unit: 2643

Claims 2-5, 7-11, and 13-16 and 18-22 are also rejected because of depending on either claim 1 or claim 12 containing the same deficiency.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 7-15 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hildin (US PAT. 5,844,599) in view of Bianchi (US PAT. 5,434,617).

Regarding claim 1, Hildin discloses a method for tracking an object of interest, i.e., an active speaker, in a video processing system comprising the steps of generating for a given measurement interval an audio locator output and a video locator output, each indicative of a location of the object of interest (col. 4 lines 26-50), applying a set of rules to determine at a manner in which the audio locator output will be utilized to adjust a setting of the camera based in the given measurement interval (col. 4 line 51 through col. 5 line 19), and adjusting the camera setting in accordance with the determined manner of utilization (col. 5 lines 55-65). Although Hildin does not specifically teach to utilize video locator output to adjust the camera setting only if the video locator output confidence indicator is above the video locator threshold for the given measurement, Bianchi teaches an automatic tracking camera control method for adjusting a camera setting when a video locator confidence indicating means is above a

Art Unit: 2643

predetermined threshold for a given measurement interval (figure 8 and col. 9 line 26 through col. 12 line 54) in order to effectively track movements of an object automatically. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hildin in utilizing video locator output to adjust the camera setting only if the video locator output confidence indicator is above the video locator threshold for the given measurement, as per teaching of Bianchi, because it makes effectively to automatically track movement of an object.

Regarding claim 2, Hildin discloses the object of interest comprising an active speaker, i.e., a moving person (col.2 lines 29-30).

Regarding claim 3, Hildin discloses the camera is a pan-tilt-zoom camera having adjustable pan, tilt, and zoom settings (col. 2 lines 30-38 and col. 5 lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 7, Hildin teaches to determine based nn the audio locator output if the object of interest corresponds to a new speaker in a multiple-participant system and directing the camera to zoom out by a predetermined amount and to turn in a direction of the new speaker if a new speaker is detected (col. 5 lines 4-19 and col. 6 lines 2-9).

Regarding claim 8, Hildin discloses to determine whether the object of interest corresponds to a same speaker in a multiple-participant system based on the audio locator output (col. 4 lines 43-64), and utilizing the video locator output to adjust camera setting so as place the same speaker at a designated position within one or more video frames generated by the camera (col. 4 lines 26-42).

Regarding claim 9, Hildin teaches to locate a head of the identified same speaker occupied a designated portion of a given one or more video frame generated by the camera by

Art Unit: 2643

for precision adjustments of the field of view (col. 6 lines 10-14) such that it includes adjusting a zoom setting of the camera.

Regarding claim 10, Hildin teaches to zoom out the camera by a predetermined amount after a detect period of continued silence exceeds a first amount of time (col. 6 lines 6-9).

Regarding claim 12, Hildin discloses an apparatus for tracking an object of interest, i.e., an active speaker, in a video processing system as shown in figure 5 comprising a camera (102) and a processor (106) coupled to the camera and operative to process an audio locator output and a video locator output, each indicative of a location of the object of interest for a given measurement interval (col. 4 lines 26-50), to apply a set of rules to determine at a manner in which the audio locator output will be utilized to adjust a setting of the camera based in the given measurement interval (col. 4 line 51 through col. 5 line 19) so that the camera setting is adjusted in accordance with the determined manner of utilization (col. 5 lines 55-65). Although Hildin does not specifically teach to utilize video locator output to adjust the camera setting only if the video locator output confidence indicator is above the video locator threshold for the given measurement, Bianchi teaches an automatic tracking camera control method for adjusting a camera setting when a video locator confidence indicating means is above a predetermined threshold for a given measurement interval (figure 8 and col. 9 line 26 through col. 12 line 54) in order to effectively track movements of an object automatically. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hildin in utilizing video locator output to adjust the camera setting only if the video locator output confidence indicator is above the video locator threshold for the given measurement, as

Art Unit: 2643

per teaching of Bianchi, because it makes effectively to automatically track movement of an object.

Regarding claim 13, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 14, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 3.

Regarding claims 18-21, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 7-10, respectively.

Regarding claim 23, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

7. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hildin (US PAT. 5,844,599) in view of Bianchi (US PAT. 5,434,617) as applied in claims above, and further in view of Pingali (US PAT. 6,005,610).

Regarding claims 4 and 15, the combination of Hildin and Bianchi differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching to determine if the audio locator and video locator output are sufficiently close for the give measurement interval, and utilize the audio locator output to adjust the camera setting if the audio and video locator outputs are not within a specified range of one another for the given measurement interval. However, Pingali teaches an integrated localizer to determine whether a visual object localizer and audio source localizer are sufficiently close for a given measurement interval (col.8 line 65 through col. 9 line 5) and to utilize the audio source localizer output to adjust a camera when the visual object localizer

Art Unit: 2643

output and the audio source localizer outputs are not within a specified range in order to improve

estimate of the location of an identified object (col. 9 lines 6-25 and lines 31-53). Therefore, it

would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the combination of Hildin and Bainchi in determining if the audio locator and

video locator output are sufficiently close for the give measurement interval, and utilize the

audio locator output to adjust the camera setting if the audio and video locator outputs are not

within a specified range of one another for the given measurement interval, as per teaching of

Pingali, because it improves estimate of the location of an identified object.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 5 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, V.A., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2643

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Eng whose telephone number is 703-308-9555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-6306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

George Eng

Examiner

Art Unit 2643